

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

864-489-6833

864-902-3500

864-839-6723

NO

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Average	Below Average	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Unsatisfactory	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Mathematics English/Language Arts **Mathematics** English/Language Arts

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Definition of Critical Terms

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; Advanced exceeded expectations **Proficient** Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; **Below Basic** the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	27	154	40
Percent satisfied with learning environment	92.6%	67.5%	75.0%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	96.3%	57.9%	55.0%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	61.5%	79.7%	57.5%

Granaru iviidule	>							1101004
PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR	/,				/ .		cientand cientander
	/	nt 1st ting	/ a> /	Casic /	/.c. /	Proficient of	/ nced	cient and ci
	dir	16, 463\	(este /	OWL	Basic ol	Profits /	Advar of	cikyany
	Emo	1942 0/0	lested old	olico (si	0/0	0/0	Advanced on Profi	cient nee
			Ξī	iglish/Lar	iguage A	rts		
All students	489	99.6	38.5	44.1	15.7	1.8	17.4	17.6
Gender								
Male	246	99.2	47.8	41.6	9.7	0.9	10.6	17.6
Female	243	100.0	28.6	46.8	21.8	2.7	24.5	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group			00.0	40.0	40.5		04.0	47.0
White	330	99.7	29.0	49.2	19.5	2.3	21.8	17.6
African-American	147	99.3	59.7	34.1	5.4	0.8	6.2	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	8	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	439	100.0	34.4	46.4	17.2	2.0	19.2	17.6
Disabled	50	96.0	80.0	20.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status				,	,			
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	489	99.6	38.3	44.2	15.7	1.8	17.5	17.6
English Proficiency								4= 0
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	489	99.6	38.3	44.0	15.9	1.8	17.7	17.6
Socio-Economic Status		00.5	50.0	44.7	7.5	N1/A	7.5	47.0
Subsidized meals	220	99.5	50.8	41.7	7.5	N/A	7.5	17.6
Full-pay meals	269	99.6	29.3	45.9	21.6	3.1	24.7	17.6
				Mathe	matics			
All students	489	99.6	30.9	40.9	19.2	8.9	28.2	15.5
Gender	100							
Male	246	99.6	31.7	41.9	18.1	8.4	26.4	15.5
Female	243	99.6	29.7	40.2	20.5	9.6	30.1	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	- 10	20.0				7.0		75.0
White	330	100.0	23.5	42.8	22.2	11.4	33.7	15.5
African-American	147	98.6	49.2	36.2	11.5	3.1	14.6	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	8	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status	1,7,1							
Not disabled	439	100.0	27.8	41.5	20.9	9.8	30.7	15.5
Disabled	50	96.0	62.5	35.0	2.5	N/A	2.5	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	489	99.6	30.7	41.0	19.3	9.0	28.3	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	489	99.6	31.0	40.5	19.5	9.0	28.5	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								

42.2

22.4

42.2

40.2

25.1

12.4

15.5

37.5

15.5

15.5

99.5

99.6

220

269

Socio-Economic Status

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		JRIVIAINL	_	_			/ &	Advanced Advanced
		/	ent sting	'sed /	"Basic	_eic /	Sicient	Advanced Advanced
		Enrolle	ayd Testing	lested alogo	HOW Basic	Basic ol	Proficient old	Advanced Advanced
		\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \		0/0	<u> </u>	/		0/0,
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	n/Languag N/A	ge Arts N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 6	130	N/A	24.2	43.0	31.3	1.6	32.8
	Grade 7	166	N/A	29.4	51.5	17.8	1.2	19.0
	Grade 8	129	N/A	32.5	35.7	29.4	2.4	31.7
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 6	158	99.4	46.9	33.8	16.6	2.8	19.3
	Grade 7	149	100.0	32.8	53.7	13.4	N/A	13.4
	Grade 8	182	99.5	35.7	45.2	16.7	2.4	19.0

	Nathama Cara											
				IVI	athematio	S						
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
20	Grade 6	130	N/A	21.9	43.0	28.1	7.0	35.2				
	Grade 7	166	N/A	41.7	30.7	14.7	12.9	27.6				
•	Grade 8	129	N/A	33.3	40.5	20.6	5.6	26.2				
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
20	Grade 6	158	99.4	26.9	32.4	28.3	12.4	40.7				
	Grade 7	149	99.3	29.9	43.3	17.9	9.0	26.9				
	Grade 8	182	100.0	35.1	46.4	12.5	6.0	18.5				

SCHOOL PROFILE

O	ur School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 487)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	41.8%	Up from 18.3%	15.9%	14.4%
Retention rate	0.2%	Down from 4.5%	2.2%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	93.6%	Down from 94.9%	95.4%	95.2%
	19.6%	Down from 20.1%	16.6%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	8.9%	Down from 9.3%	14.6%	14.1%
	1.6%	Down from 1.7%	4.3%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	0.2%	Down from 0.4%	1.3%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.9%	Up from 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 36)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	30.6%	Down from 34.2%	49.1%	47.1%
	77.8%	Down from 92.1%	86.2%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	75.3%	Down from 79.6%	86.4%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.8%	Up from 92.4%	95.1%	95.0%
	\$38,887	Down 0.3%	\$40,280	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	9.1 days	Up from 7.4 days	10.5 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	19.0 to 1	Up from 9.6 to 1	21.6 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	87.5%	Up from 85.9%	89.0%	88.9%
	\$6,550	Up 12.0%	\$5,662	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	63.6%	Up from 62.4%	62.2%	62.0%
	Good	Up from Fair	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	No change	94.5%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

			• •	
Δhhr	eviation	e tor N	liccina	I lata

						Ū			
1	N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The mission of Granard Middle School, in partnership with family and community, is for our students to perform and develop to their greatest potential by maximizing and developing their educational, technological, vocational, and social skills in a safe, supportive environment, thus preparing them for the next level of education.

We have improved the community perception of Granard Middle School, as indicated by the large number of community functions held at our school each month. Parental participation in school activities continues to increase.

Our mathematics and language arts test scores continue to show improvement. Student participation in the Accelerated Reader Program has increased dramatically. Opportunities for remediation in academic areas continue to have a positive impact on our overall program. We will continue to focus and develop programs outside the regular classroom that will support Math, Language Arts, Science, and Social Studies instruction, and improve PACT scores.

Granard Middle School has a faculty and administration that believes that every child can be successful, and we remain committed to making Granard Middle School the best middle school in the state of South Carolina.

Mr. Charles T. Wright, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.